Title: Treatment and long-term outcome in primary distal Renal Tubular Acidosis.

Authors: Sergio Camilo Lopez-Garcia[1,2], Francesco Emma[4], Stephen B Walsh[2], Marc Fila[5], Nakysa Hooman[6], Marcin Zaniew[7], Aurélia Bertholet-Thomas[8], Giacomo Colussi[9], Kathrin Burgmaier[10], Elena Levtchenko[11], Jyoti Sharma[12], Jyoti Singhal[12], Neveen A. Soliman[13], Gema Ariceta[14], Biswanath Basu[15], Luisa Murer[16], Velibor Tasic[17], Alexey Tsygin[18], Stéphane Decramer[19], Helena Gil-Peña[20], Linda Koster-Kamphuis[21], Claudio La Scola[22], Jutta Gellermann[23], Martin Konrad [24], Marc Lilien[25], Telma Francisco[26], Despoina Tramma[27], Peter Trnka[28,29], Selçuk Yüksel[30], Maria Rosa Caruso[31], Milan Chromek[32], Zelal Ekinci[33], Giovanni Gambaro[34], Jameela A. Kari[35], Jens König[24], Francesca Taroni[36], Julia Thumfart[23], Francesco Trepiccione[37], Louise Winding[38], Elke Wühl[3], Ayşe Ağbaş[39], Anna Belkevich[40], Rosa Vargas-Poussou[41], Anne Blanchard[41], Giovanni Conti[42], Olivia Boyer[43], Ismail Dursun[44], Ayşe Seda Pınarbaşı[44], Engin Melek[45], Marius Miglinas[46], Robert Novo[47], Andrew Mallett[29,48], Danko Milosevic[49], Maria Szczepanska[50], Sarah Wente[51], Hae Il Cheong[52], Rajiv Sinha[53], Zoran Gucev[54], Stephanie Dufek[2], Daniela Iancu[2], European dRTA consortium, Robert Kleta[1,2], Franz Schaefer[3], Detlef Bockenhauer[1,2].

[1]Department of Paediatric Nephrology, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; [2]Centre for Nephrology, University College London, UK [3]Division of Pediatric Nephrology, Center for Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; [4]Division of Nephrology, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital - IRCCS, Rome, Italy; [5]Pediatric nephrology - CHU Arnaud de Villeneuve. Montpellier University Hospital - Montpellier - France; [6]Ali-Asghar Clinical Research Development Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; [7]Department of Pediatrics, University of Zielona Góra, Zielona Góra, Poland; [8]Centre de référence maladies rénales rares, Bron, France; [9]ASST Niguarda – Milan, Italy; [10]Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital of Cologne, Cologne, Germany; [11]University Hospital Leuven, Belgium; [12]King Edward Memorial Hospital, Pune, India; [13]Department of Pediatrics, Center of Pediatric Nephrology & Transplantation, Kasr Al Ainy School of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt ; [14]Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; [15]Division of Pediatric Nephrology, NRS Medical College, Kolkata, India; [16]Pediatric Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplant Unit- Az. Ospedaliera & University of Padova, Italy; [17]University Children's Hospital, Medical School, Skopje, Macedonia; [18]National Medical and Research Centre for Children's Health, Moscow; [19]Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Toulouse, Service de Nephrologie Pediatrique, Hopital des Enfants, Centre De Reference des Maladies Rénales Rares du Sud Ouest, Toulouse, France; [20]Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Spain; [21]Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, Netherlands; [22]Nephrology and Dialysis Unit, Department of Woman, Child and Urological Diseases, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria 'Sant'Orsola-

Malpighi', Bologna, Italy; [23]Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany; [24]University Children's Hospital, Münster, Germany; [25]Wilhelmina Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands; [26]Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal; [27]4th Ped.Dep. Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece; [28]Lady Cilento Children's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia; [29]School of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; [30]Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Pamukkale University School of Medicine, Denizli, Turkey; [31]Nephrology Unit Azienda Ospedaliera Papa Giovani XXIII Bergamo, Italy; [32]Karolinska Institutet and Lund University, Sweden; [33]Group Florence Nightingale Hospitals, İstanbul, Turkey; [34]Fondazione Policlinico A. Gemelli, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy; [35]Pediatric Nephrology Center of Excellence and Pediatric Department, Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; [36]Pediatric Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplant Unit. Fondazione IRCCS Ca' Granda - Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milano, Italy; [37]Department of Translational Medical Sciences, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy; [38]Pediatric Department, Lillebaelt Hospital Kolding, Denmark; [39]Haseki Education and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey; [40]Belarusian State Medical University, Minsk, Belarus; [41]Department of Genetics, Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Paris, France; [42]Pediatric Nephrology Unit, AOU Policlinic G Martino, Messina, Italy; [43]Necker hospital, Paris, France; [44]Erciyes University, Faculty of medicine, Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Kayseri, Turkey; [45]Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey; [46]Vilnius university, Nephrology Centre, Santaros klinikos, Vilnius, Lithuania; [47]University Hospital of Lille, France; [48]Department of Renal Medicine, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Australia; [49]University Hospital Centre Zagreb, Croatia; [50]Department of Pediatrics, SMDZ in Zabrze, SUM in Katowice, Poland; [51]Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany; [52]Department of Pediatrics, Seoul University Children's Hospital, Seoul, Korea; [53]Institute of Child Health, Kolkata, India; [54]University Children's Hospital, Medical School, Skopje, Macedonia.

The European dRTA consortium consists of the authors, as well as:

Amira Peco-Antić[55], Amrit Kaur[56], Antonino Paglialunga[57], Aude Servais[58], Branko Lutovac[59], Ewout J. Hoorn[60], Hadas Shasha-Lavsky[61], Jerome Harambat[62], Astrid Godron-Dubrasquet[62], Kathrin Buder[63], Lise Allard[64], Ludwig Patzer[65], Marina Shumikhina[66], Matthias Hansen[67], Nikoleta Printza[68], Nuran Küçük[69], Ortraud Beringer[70], Rajendra Bhimma[71], Rimante Cerkauskiene[72,73], Thomas J Neuhaus[74], Valbona Stavileci[75], Tim Ulinski[76], Nida Temizkan Dincel[77], Nilufar Mohebbi[78].

[55]Department of Nephrology, University Children's Hospital, Belgrade, Serbia; [56]Department of Paediatric Nephrology, Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, UK; [57]ASP de Ragusa, Modica, Italy; [58]Department of Nephrology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Necker, APHP, Paris, France; [59]Clinical Centre of Montenegro, Institute for Children's Disease, Podgorica, Montenegro; [60]Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands; [61]Galilee Medical Center, Nahariya, Israel; [62]Pediatric Nephrology Unit, Bordeaux University Hospital, France; [63]Pediatric Department. University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus Dresden, Germany; [64]Department of Pediatrics, Angers University Hospital, Angers, France; [65]Children's Hospital St. Elisabeth and St. Barbara, Halle, Germany; [66]Filatov Children's Clinical Hospital №13, Moscow, Russia; [67]KfH Centre of Paediatric Nephrology, Clementine Children's Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany; [68]1st Pediatric Department, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece; [69]Kartal Dr.Lütfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey; [70]University Children's Hospital, Ulm, Germany; [71]Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital , Durban, South Africa; [72]Vilnius University, Faculty of medicine Children's hospital, Lithuania; [73]Vilnius University Hospital Santaros klinikos, Vilnius, Lithuania; [74]Children's Hospital of Lucerne, Cantonal Hospital of Lucerne, Switzerland; [75]Pediatric Clinic, Prishtina, Kosovo; ; [76]Pediatric Nephrology Department, Armand Trousseau University Hospital, APHP, Paris, France; [77]Health Sciences University, Izmir Dr Behcet Uz Children Hospital, Turkey; [78]Division of Nephrology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

<u>Corresponding author:</u>

Prof. Dr. D. Bockenhauer

Department of Pediatric Nephrology

Great Ormond Street Hospital NHS Trust

Great Ormond Street

London WC1N 3JH

Tel: +44 20 7405 9200

d.bockenhauer@ucl.ac.uk

key words: distal renal tubular acidosis, hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis, chronic kidney disease, nephrocalcinosis, nephrolithiasis, sensorineural hearing loss.

Abstract: 249 words

BACKGROUND:

Primary distal renal tubular acidosis (dRTA) is a rare disorder and we aimed to gather data on treatment and long-term outcome.

METHODS:

We contacted paediatric and adult nephrologists through European professional organizations. Responding clinicians entered demographic, biochemical, genetic and clinical data in an online form.

RESULTS:

Adequate data was collected on 340 patients (29 countries, female 52%). Mutation testing had been performed in 206 (61%); pathogenic mutations were identified in 170 (83%). The median (range) presentation age was 0.5 (0-54) years and at last follow up 11.0 (0-70.0) years. Adult height was slightly below average with a mean SDS (standard deviation score) of -0.57 (±1.16). There was an increased prevalence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage ≥2 in children (35%) and adults (82%). Nephrocalcinosis was reported in 88%. Nephrolithiasis was more common with *SLC4A1* mutations (42% vs 21%). 36% had hearing loss, particularly in *ATP6V1B1* (88%).

The median (interquartile range) prescribed dose of alkali in mEq/kg/day was 1.9 (1.2-3.3). Adequate metabolic control (normal plasma bicarbonate and normocalciuria) was achieved in 158 patients (51%), more commonly in countries with higher gross domestic product (67% vs 23%) and was associated with higher height and eGFR.

CONCLUSION:

Long term follow-up from this large dRTA cohort shows an overall favourable outcome with normal adult height for most and no patient with CKD 5. Yet, 82% of adult patients have CKD 2-4. Importance of adequate metabolic control was highlighted by better growth and renal function but was achieved in only half of patients.

Introduction

Primary distal renal tubular acidosis (dRTA) is a rare disorder with an estimated incidence of <1:100.000. Often referred to as type 1 RTA, it is characterised by an impaired ability of the α-intercalated cells in the collecting duct to secrete protons with consequently disturbed acid-base homeostasis (1). Currently, 5 genes are recognized, mutation in which can cause dRTA: *SLC4A1* (2), *ATP6V0A4* (3), *ATP6V1B1* (4), *FOXI1* (5) and *WDR72*(6). SLC4A1 encodes the anion exchanger AE1 expressed on the basolateral aspect of the intercalated cells. Mutations in this gene are typically inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion but can also be recessive. *ATP6V0A4* and *ATP6V1B1* encode subunits of the proton pump, expressed on the apical side of the intercalated cells, as well as in the inner ear. *FOXI1* encodes a transcription factor important for acid-secreting epithelia. WDR72 is thought to be involved in intracellular trafficking potentially affecting targeting of acid-base regulatory proteins. So far only recessive mutations in the latter 4 genes have been recognised.

Clinically, dRTA is characterised by hyperchloraemic (normal anion gap) metabolic acidosis with excretion of an insufficiently acid urine. Hypokalaemia is common and has been attributed to the altered balance between potassium and proton secretion in the collecting duct in exchange for sodium reabsorption, potentially augmented by increased aldosterone levels (7, 8). The excess acid in the blood is mainly buffered by the bone, leading to release of calcium from the skeleton, which, together with impaired tubular calcium reabsorption in acidosis, results in hypercalciuria that can be associated with nephrocalcinosis and/or nephrolithiasis (9). Faltering growth is a common presenting symptom in children with dRTA (10).

Due to the importance of proton secretion into the endolymph for normal inner ear function, dRTA can also be associated with sensorineural deafness, most prominently with mutations in *FOXI1*, *ATP6V1B1* and, to a lesser degree, *ATP6V0A4*, because of their shared expression and functional relevance in kidney and inner ear.

Due to its rarity, little long-term outcome data exist to inform prognosis and management of patients with dRTA. Recently, an EU initiative for reference networks for rare diseases was launched to improve

the diagnosis and management of affected patients. As part of the network for rare kidney diseases (ERKnet) and together with the Working Groups on Inherited Kidney Diseases (European Renal Association – European Dialysis and Transplant Association [ERA-EDTA]) and Inherited Renal Disorders (European Society for Paediatric Nephrology [ESPN]), we aimed to collect long-term outcome data on a large multinational cohort of primary dRTA patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:

Clinical data

An email was sent to the membership of ERA-EDTA and ESPN, inviting clinicians to provide data on patients with a clinical diagnosis of primary dRTA. The email contained a link to an online data form which was open from the 6th to the 31st of August 2017.

A total of 28 questions were asked, pertaining to demographics, treatment, as well as kidney function and complications, such as nephrocalcinosis and hearing impairment. A list of all questions is provided in supplemental table 1.

In cases of missing information or if provided data points were noted to be outliers, corresponding clinicians were contacted via email for completion and/or verification of data. Data were deemed adequate for analysis if <5 items were missing, and the information provided was confirmed by the responsible clinician. Details of data completion are provided in supplemental table 2.

Genotype-phenotype analysis

To facilitate the detection of potential genotype-phenotype associations the total cohort was divided according to the genetic information supplied into the following 4 groups: 1. *ATP6V1B1*, 2. *ATP6V0A4*, 3. *SLC4A1* and 4. *Unknown*. For selected analyses, the genetically proven cases were subdivided into those with AE1 mutations (*SLC4A1,* mostly autosomal dominant) or proton pump subunit mutations (*ATP6V1B1* and *ATP6V0A4,* all autosomal recessive). The most recently discovered dRTA disease genes *FOXI1* and *WDR72* had not been described at the time of data collection and thus were not included. Genetic testing results were provided by the contributing clinicians according to their best knowledge and in some patients testing may have been performed on a research basis only without confirmation in a clinical laboratory. Mutation details, as provided, were reviewed by a clinical geneticist (D.I.) to ensure they accorded to ACMG standard (11).

Growth

Height data was normalised and expressed as SDS (Standard Deviation Score), based on data from the World Health Organisation (WHO) for children (12, 13) or the 2000 Center for Disease Control data for adults (14). Normal height was defined as a calculated score ≥ -2.00.

Kidney function

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) in adults (≥20 years old) was calculated using the MDRD equation, no data on ethnicity were available. Based on the population in the participating countries, only a small number of black patients were expected and therefore no correction for ethnicity was performed (15). For children and young adults (2-20 years), we used the modified "Schwartz" formula (16). Prevalence of CKD was calculated and expressed in groups 1-5 according to KDIGO guidelines, based on a history of chronic tubular disorder +/- abnormal imaging, no data on sediment abnormalities, proteinuria or histopathology was collected (17). For comparison with the third National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES III) cohort (18) data from patients aged 20-60 years (N=61) was used for subsequent analysis of CKD prevalence. As there were only 2 patients older than 60 years, meaningful comparison for that age group was not possible.

Metabolic control

Adequate metabolic control was defined as a plasma or serum bicarbonate ≥22.0mmol/L and the absence of hypercalciuria. For simplification, only the term serum bicarbonate will be used, although in some patients it may have been measured in plasma or calculated from whole blood gas analysis. Normal ranges for serum bicarbonate increase slightly during the first years of life. Yet, since treatment typically aims at a value in the middle in the normal range, we defined 22.0 mmol/L as the general lower limit for all age groups. Hypercalciuria was defined as a urine calcium/creatinine ratio result above upper limit of normality for age range (19).

Nephrocalcinosis and Nephrolithiasis:

Data on nephrocalcinosis and nephrolithiasis were provided by the clinicians and thus reflect the local imaging protocols and interpretation. Age at diagnosis of nephrocalcinosis is presented in supplemental figure 3. Insufficient data was available regarding age at diagnosis of nephrolithiasis, therefore meaningful analysis was not possible.

Classification according to Gross Domestic Product per capita

For analyses according to country of residence of the patients, countries were classified according to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita based on data from The World Bank (20). Countries with GDP per capita >$35.000 were classified as "high GDP", $10.000 and 35.000 as "medium GDP" and <$10.000 as "low GDP" (see supplemental table 3).

Statistics

Analysis was done in IBM SPSS Statistics for windows version 24.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.)

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to assess normality of the data. Data following a normal distribution was expressed as mean (±SD) and non-normally distributed data as median (range or IQR). Statistical significance for categorical/dichotomous variables was performed with the Pearson Chi-square test. The Student-T test was used to compare the means between two groups of parametric data and one-way ANOVA test for three or more different groups. We used two different non-parametrics tests during the analysis for medians comparison, Mann-Whitney U-test for dichotomous and Kruskall-Wallis for polychotomous variables.

RESULTS:

Responses and demographic data:

A total of 340 cases (from 29 countries, supplemental table 4) were available for final analysis. An overview of the cohort is given in figure 1.

Gender distribution was equal with 177 (52%) females and 163 (48%) males. The median age (range) was 11.0 (0-70) years at last follow up and 83 (24%) were adults (≥18 years old) with the following age distribution 18-20 years: 20; 20-40 years: 45; 40-60 years: 16 and >60 years: 2.

Genetic information:

Genetic analysis in the three-classical known dRTA genes had been performed in 206 cases (61%) and of these 170 (83%) were found to have causative mutations. The overall distribution of the patients according to genetic cause is detailed in Figure 2a. A list of all reported mutations is provided in supplemental table 5.

Of the 36 patients who had undergone complete genetic testing yet with no clear causative mutation(s), 6 patients were heterozygous for the same variant in *ATP6V1B1*: c.1181G>T, p.(Arg394Gln) without a second mutation identified.

Age at presentation:

The median age (IQR: interquartile range) at presentation was 0.5 (0.1-2.5) years with a significantly ($p<0.001$) later onset in patients with *SLC4A1* mutations (Figure 2b): *ATP6V1B1* 0.5 (0.1-1.9), *ATP6V0A4* 0.2 (0.1-0.3), *SLC4A1* 4.0 (1.9-12.0) and *Unknown* 0.5 (0.2-2.8). A total of 307/336 (91%) patients presented before age 10 years.

Age at last clinic follow up:

Median (IQR) age at last follow up in years was 11.0 (5.0-17.5) years and for the specific subgroups: *ATP6V1B1* 11.5 (6.0-18.0), *ATP6V0A4* 11.0 (3.6-17.2), *SLC4A1* 16.0 (7.9-26.3) and *Unknown* 9.0 (4.0-

15.0) (Figure 2c). Consistent with the older age at presentation, patients with *SLC4A1* mutations were also significantly (p<0.019) older at last clinic visit.

Long-term outcome: growth

The most recent height standard deviation score (SDS) mean (±SD) for the adult population was -0.57 (±1.16), with no significant difference (p=0.059) between the genetic groups: *ATP6V1B1* -0.86 (±0.92), *ATP6V0A4* -0.54 (±1.19), *SLC4A1* -1.15 (±0.67) and *Unknown* -0.16 (±0.89). (Figure 2d).

Long-term outcome: kidney function

A third (34.7%) of the children (age 2-18 years) had an impaired eGFR (<90ml/min/1.73m^2), mostly CKD Stage 2 (Figure 3a).Mean (SD) eGFR at last follow up in adults (N=83) was 75ml/min/1.73m^2 (±23) and was broadly similar across the genetic groups: *ATP6V1B1* 81 (±27), *ATP6V0A4* 79 (±26), *SLC4A1* 66 (±20) and *Unknown* 75 (±20) (p = 0.2). No patient with end stage renal disease was noted, yet of the 83 adult patients (≥18 years) eGFR was < 90ml/min/1.73m^2 in 68 (82%) as shown in Figure 3b. In adults the overall rate of eGFR decline was 0.8ml/min/1.73m^2/year (Figure 3c). The prevalence of CKD stage ≥2 was significantly higher (50/61 = 82%) in dRTA patients age 20-60 years compared to the NHANES III population (2729/10444 = 26%) (Figure 3d).

Treatment

More than 30 different alkali formulations were used. 84 patients (25%) were treated with oral bicarbonate, 141 (42%) with oral citrate and 113 (33%) with both. Two patients (both with *SLC4A1* mutations) were not treated with alkali. A sodium containing salt was used in 21%, potassium in 29% and a combination in 50%. Sodium salts were more commonly used in countries with low per capita income (supplemental figure 1a). There was no statistically significant difference in hypercalciuria (and indirectly in metabolic control) according to salt used (Supplemental figure 1b).

The median (IQR) prescribed dose of alkali treatment (mEq/kg/day) was 1.9 (1.2-3.3) and comparable across groups: *ATP6V1B1* 1.7 (1.1-2.3), *ATP6V0A4* 1.9 (1.2-3.3), *SLC4A1* 1.5 (0.9-2.7), *Unknown* 2.2 (1.4-4.1). Yet, median (IQR) prescribed doses of alkali equivalent were significantly higher in younger patients compared to older ones (p value < 0.001) (Figure 4a).

Metabolic control

Serum bicarbonate (mmol/L) levels and urine calcium/creatinine ratio (mmol/mmol) at last follow-up were used as markers for metabolic control. Data for both items were available in 312 patients. Median (IQR) serum bicarbonate level at last follow up was just at the lower limit of the normal range at 22.0 mmol/l (20.0-24.0) with no significant difference between the genetic groups (Figure 4b). The prevalence of hypercalciuria was similar between genetically diagnosed groups, at 12% in ATP6V1B1 (7/58), 14% in ATP5V0A4 (8/56), 11% in SLC4A1 (5/47) and 19% in Unknown (28/151).

In total, 43% of patients had a serum bicarbonate < 22 mmol/L and 15% had hypercalciuria. Overall, 49% had one or both abnormalities and therefore were considered as not having adequate metabolic control at last clinic visit (Figure 4c).

To assess the importance of metabolic control, we compared growth and kidney function between those with and without adequate metabolic control. For growth, we analysed the last documented height from patients who presented and thus started treatment at an age with presumed growth potential (defined as <15 years of age). Median (IQR) Height SDS was significantly (p < 0.001) increased at -0.52 (-1.32 to +0.36) in those with adequate metabolic control compared to -1.31 (-2.50 to -0.52) in those without (Figure 4d). As a marker for the long term effect on kidney function, we compared eGFR in adults with or without adequate metabolic control: mean (±SD) eGFR was significantly higher (p = 0.023) in those with adequate metabolic control at 79 (±19) compared to those without at 67 (±22) ml/min/1.73m^2 (Figure 4e). Adequate metabolic control was achieved in a significantly (p value < 0.001) higher proportion (67%) of patients in the countries with high GDP, compared to 50% in countries with medium and 23% in countries with low GDP (Figure 4f).

Nephrocalcinosis and Nephrolithiasis:

Nephrocalcinosis was common in all groups but had a significantly higher (p value = 0.004) prevalence in patients with *ATP6V0A4* mutations 98% (59/60) compared to *ATP6V1B1* 90% (53/59), *SLC4A1* 94% (47/50) and *Unknown* 82% (140/170). Nephrocalcinosis was already noted at presentation in most of the patients (229/261 = 88%, see supplemental figure 3). Nephrolithiasis was significantly (p = 0.014) more common in patients with *SLC4A1* mutations 42% (21/50) compared to *ATP6V1B1* 21% (12/57), *ATP6V0A4* 20% (12/59) and *Unknown* 21% (34/165) (Figure 5).

Hearing loss:

Hearing loss was significantly (p < 0.001) more prevalent in patients with *ATP6V1B1* mutation 88% (50/57) compared to *ATP6V0A4* 36% (21/59), *SLC4A1* 6% (3/49) and *Unknown* 26% (42/161) (Figure 6a). Hearing aids were prescribed in a total of 90 (27%) patients and most commonly in patients with *ATP6V1B1* mutations (N=40, 69%), compared to *ATP6V0A4* (N=16, 26%), *SLC4A1* (N=3, 6%) and *Unknown* (N=31, 18%) (p < 0.001) (Figure 6b). Median (IQR) age (years) of hearing aids prescription was significantly lower (p < 0.021) in the *ATP6V1B1* group at 2.5 (1.2-5.0) compared to 7.0 (3.1-13.3) with *ATP6V0A4*, 5.0 (4.0-5.0) with *SLC4A1* and 3.6 (1.9-5.9) with *Unknown* mutations (Figure 6c).
Similarly, cochlear implants were significantly (p < 0.001) more commonly performed in patients with *ATP6V1B1* mutation 24% (14/59) compared to *ATP6V0A4* 5% (3/61), *SLC4A1* 0% and *Unknown* 4% (7/170) (Figure 6d).

DISCUSSION:

We report on the treatment and long-term outcome in patients with a clinical diagnosis of primary dRTA. To the best of our knowledge our cohort is the largest reported so far for this condition. While approximately half of the cohort did not have an identified genetic diagnosis (including 39% who were not genetically screened), the large number (N=50-61) in each genetically classified group allows for meaningful interpretation of data according to genotype. Moreover, the lack of obvious differences with regards to treatment, long-term outcome and complications between the groups with unknown genetics and those with defined mutations, suggest that the clinical diagnosis of primary dRTA was accurate also in most of the patients without genetic conformation. Of those who had genetic testing performed, causative mutations were identified in about 83%, roughly comparable to other recent reports (21-23).

Of interest is the recurrent identification of the heterozygous mutation in ATP6V1B1 p.(Arg394Gln), which has been reported previously in heterozygous form in patients with clinical diagnosis of dRTA (22, 24). It remains to be shown, whether this mutation is pathogenic on its own, or whether a second mutation on the other allele has been missed by current diagnostic methods.

Genotype-Phenotype analysis

Our data show some genotype-specific characteristics, comparable to previous reports (14 ,15). In general, patients with mutations in the proton pump subunits have a more severe phenotype, compared to those with *SLC4A1* mutations: age of presentation is younger, with the vast majority (91/118, 77%) presenting in the first year of life and all before 10 years of age, whereas 12% (6/50) of patients with *SLC4A1* mutations presented in adulthood (Figure 3b).

Treatment and metabolic control

Control of the acidosis, as assessed by plasma bicarbonate concentration and urine calcium excretion was achieved in only about half of all patients. We recognise that there are limitations to this analysis:

biochemical data were only available from last the clinic visit, which may not be representative of the entire follow-up period. Moreover, bicarbonate determinations varied, as in some instances total CO_2 was measured, whereas in others it was calculated from a blood gas. Most importantly, bicarbonate levels in this condition depend heavily on the timing of the last alkali dose taken. Yet, these limitations reflect routine clinical practice and for these reasons, urine calcium excretion is commonly used as another indicator of metabolic control in dRTA, as urine calcium excretion increases when acidosis is present (25).

Interestingly, adequate metabolic control was significantly associated with per capita GDP (Figure 4f). Whether this reflects the affordability and/or availability of specialised medical care and medications cannot be deduced from our data. Of note, age at diagnosis was not significantly different in the three GDP groups (data not shown).

Forms of supplementation varied widely and overall, a total of 34 different alkali formulations were used (supplemental table 6). This may reflect clinician preference, as well as country-specific availability of these alkali supplements.

Interestingly, the prescribed alkali dosage is highest during the first years of life, presumably reflecting the increased metabolic rate of younger children which necessitates a larger caloric intake relative to body size and thus an increased acid load (Figure 4a). Our results inform the initial treatment dose for newly diagnosed patients, with young children (< 6 years old) apparently needing up to 10 mEq/kg/day (median 3.3, IQR: 2.3-5.0), whereas older children and adults can achieve acid-base balance with typically 2-3 mEq/kg/day. There was no difference with regards to metabolic control between the age groups, consistent with the notion that physicians adjusted alkali supplementation accordingly.

Long-term outcome: growth

Distal RTA when untreated is known to have a severe impact on growth with improvement in patient's height and weight once alkaline treatment has been established (26). Our large cohort highlights the importance of adequate treatment for optimal growth. Adult height is only mildly impaired at -0.57

SDS and, again, the majority (90%) of adult patients had achieved a final height in the normal range (SDS > -2.0). Importantly, height SDS was significantly ($p < 0.001$) better in those patients with adequate metabolic control compared to those without, suggesting that growth can be optimised with adequate treatment.

Long-term outcome: kidney function

Mean eGFR in adult patients was decreased at 75 ml/min/1.73m^2 and the presence of CKD stages II-IV in more than 80% of adults suggest that dRTA has a long-term impact on eGFR. The high prevalence of CKD is consistent with previous studies of dRTA cohorts, which reported rates between 30-67%, depending on the age of the population (21, 23). CKD may be due to nephrocalcinosis, the development of cysts, which are commonly seen, so-called "hypokalaemic nephropathy" or simply because of repeated acute kidney injury due to dehydration (27).

In order the stablish a meaningful comparison between the magnitude of the CKD prevalence in our cohort and general population we extracted data from the third National Health and Nutrition Survey (NHANES III), which also estimated GFR by using the MDRD equation (28). This comparison demonstrated a three-fold higher prevalence of CKD in our cohort.

The observed overall decline in eGFR in adults (Figure 3c) was 0.8ml/min/1.73m^2/year, which is comparable to the normal population (29, 30). However, in healthy individuals decline starts during the fourth decade from a starting eGFR of 130-140ml/min/1.73m^2 (31). In contrast, mean eGFR in the dRTA cohort at age 18 years was already equivalent to CKD stage 2. This suggests that kidney damage has already occurred in childhood. Indeed, while there are no large scale epidemiological studies in CKD in children, data from registries suggest a prevalence of CKD around 70 per million of the age-related population (<0.01%) (32, 33), whereas 35% of the paediatric patients in our cohort had CKD stage $\geq$2. Considering childhood as the "vulnerable" phase for kidney injury, we assessed the impact of adequate metabolic control on eGFR in children. As with height SDS, adequate metabolic

control was associated with better outcome (median 103 vs 94 ml/min/1.73m^2; p = 0.008), highlighting again the importance of adequate treatment.

Nephrocalcinosis and Nephrolithiasis:

Nephrocalcinosis and nephrolithiasis are two classical clinical features of distal renal tubular acidosis. Interestingly, despite a lower prevalence of hypercalciuria in the *SLC4A1* group, these patients had the highest prevalence of nephrolithiasis (11% and 42% respectively). Yet, it is important to note, that we only captured hypercalciuria at last follow-up. As patients with *SLC4A1* mutations typically present later in life, they presumably have had a longer time with undetected and untreated hypercalciuria, allowing stone formation.

Hearing loss:

Sensorineural hearing loss is a classic associated feature of dRTA, first described in 1971(34). Our data confirm the close association of deafness with mutations in *ATP6V1B1*(4). Yet, we also see clinically relevant deafness in almost a third of patients with *ATP6V0A4* mutations, with hearing aids or cochlear implants present in 26 and 5%, respectively (Figure 6). We also found a 6% rate of hearing aids prescription in patients with SLC4A1, the youngest at 4 years of age. This reflects the prevalence of hearing loss in the general population (35, 36).

Limitations of our study:

Our study is a retrospective review based on a limited number of results from the last clinical follow up, captured via an online form. With any such study there needs to be a balance between feasibility and the comprehensiveness of the data collected. If a large number of data is requested, clinicians may be reluctant to participate, as data entry is time consuming. Upon conception we therefore decided to focus only on aspects deemed most important. While hypokalaemia is a typical feature at diagnosis, it usually resolves with treatment (37) and we therefore did not consider it a key problem.

We also did not request data on haematological problems: autosomal recessive *SLC4A1* mutations may be associated with mild defects in red cell morphology, particularly if there is coincident acidosis (38). Given the rarity of this form of dRTA in patients of European ancestry, we did not expect to be able to make meaningful statements. Indeed, only 5 such cases were entered in the study. Investigations into this particular problem are better performed in Asian cohorts, as has been reported before (39). Finally, no specific data on diet were requested. As diet determines the acid load, detailed data on this may have been helpful to explain some of the variability in the treatment doses (40). Yet, details of dietary habits are rarely collected by clinicians in routine practice.

The high number of patients entered validates our study design of limited data gathering, even though it only allowed a focused investigation of selected clinical aspects of dRTA.

Yet, the key limitation of our study is the assumption that data from last clinical follow-up adequately reflect treatment throughout the lifetime of the patients. This obviously is not necessarily the case, which may explain some of the variability in our results. Moreover, the “long term” outcome information is based on the data from adult patients, whose previous treatment may not necessarily reflect current treatment of newly diagnosed patients. These limitations clearly show the need for prospective gathering of granular data in an international registry for this rare disorder.

CONCLUSIONS:

Data from this large cohort of patients with dRTA suggests an overall favourable outcome with final height in the normal range in more than 90%. While CKD is common, no patient with end stage kidney disease was reported. Yet, almost half of all patients had inadequate metabolic control at last follow-up, suggesting difficulties with current treatment forms. The importance of adequate treatment is highlighted by its positive impact on growth and renal function. Therefore, clinicians should aim to maintain serum bicarbonate and urine calcium in the normal range.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

ESPN has received an unrestricted grant from Advicenne.

REFERENCES:

1. McSherry E, Sebastian A, Morris RC, Jr. Renal tubular acidosis in infants: the several kinds, including bicarbonate-wasting, classic renal tubular acidosis. J Clin Invest. 1972;51(3):499-514.
2. Bruce LJ, Cope DL, Jones GK, Schofield AE, Burley M, Povey S, et al. Familial distal renal tubular acidosis is associated with mutations in the red cell anion exchanger (Band 3, AE1) gene. J Clin Invest. 1997;100(7):1693-707.
3. Smith AN, Skaug J, Choate KA, Nayir A, Bakkaloglu A, Ozen S, et al. Mutations in ATP6N1B, encoding a new kidney vacuolar proton pump 116-kD subunit, cause recessive distal renal tubular acidosis with preserved hearing. Nat Genet. 2000;26(1):71-5.
4. Karet FE, Finberg KE, Nelson RD, Nayir A, Mocan H, Sanjad SA, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding B1 subunit of H+-ATPase cause renal tubular acidosis with sensorineural deafness. Nat Genet. 1999;21(1):84-90.
5. Enerback S, Nilsson D, Edwards N, Heglind M, Alkanderi S, Ashton E, et al. Acidosis and Deafness in Patients with Recessive Mutations in FOXI1. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017.
6. Rungroj N, Nettuwakul C, Sawasdee N, Sangnual S, Deejai N, Misgar RA, et al. Distal renal tubular acidosis caused by tryptophan-aspartate repeat domain 72 (WDR72) mutations. Clinical Genetics. 2018;94(5):409-18.
7. Sebastian A, McSherry E, Morris RC, Jr. On the mechanism of renal potassium wasting in renal tubular acidosis associated with the Fanconi syndrome (type 2 RTA). J Clin Invest. 1971;50(1):231-43.
8. Batlle D, Moorthi KM, Schlueter W, Kurtzman N. Distal renal tubular acidosis and the potassium enigma. Semin Nephrol. 2006;26(6):471-8.
9. Alexander RT, Cordat E, Chambrey R, Dimke H, Eladari D. Acidosis and Urinary Calcium Excretion: Insights from Genetic Disorders. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2016;27(12):3511-20.
10. Santos F, Ordonez FA, Claramunt-Taberner D, Gil-Pena H. Clinical and laboratory approaches in the diagnosis of renal tubular acidosis. Pediatr Nephrol. 2015;30(12):2099-107.
11. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genetics in medicine : official journal of the American College of Medical Genetics. 2015;17(5):405-24.
12. WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age. Acta paediatrica (Oslo, Norway : 1992) Supplement. 2006;450:76-85.
13. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C, Siekmann J. Development of a WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2007;85(9):660-7.
14. Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Guo SS, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Mei Z, et al. 2000 CDC Growth Charts for the United States: methods and development. Vital and health statistics Series 11, Data from the national health survey. 2002(246):1-190.
15. Selistre L, De Souza V, Cochat P, Antonello ICF, Hadj-Aissa A, Ranchin B, et al. GFR Estimation in Adolescents and Young Adults. Journal of the American Society of Nephrology. 2012;23(6):989-96.
16. Schwartz GJ, Munoz A, Schneider MF, Mak RH, Kaskel F, Warady BA, et al. New equations to estimate GFR in children with CKD. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;20(3):629-37.
17. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) CKD Work Group. KDIGO 2012 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Management of Chronic Kidney Disease. Kidney International Supplements. 2013;3(1):73-90.
18. Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, Eknoyan G, Levey AS. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult US population: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41(1):1-12.
19. Rees L, Brogan P, Bockenhauer D, Webb NJ. Paediatric nephrology. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

20. World Bank. 2016. World Development Report 2016: Digital Dividends. Washington DC: World Bank.
21. Besouw MT, Bienias M, Walsh P, Kleta R, Van't Hoff WG, Ashton E, et al. Clinical and molecular aspects of distal renal tubular acidosis in children. Pediatr Nephrol. 2017;32(6):987-96.
22. Ashton EJ, Legrand A, Benoit V, Roncelin I, Venisse A, Zennaro MC, et al. Simultaneous sequencing of 37 genes identified causative mutations in the majority of children with renal tubulopathies. Kidney international. 2018.
23. Palazzo V, Provenzano A, Becherucci F, Sansavini G, Mazzinghi B, Orlandini V, et al. The genetic and clinical spectrum of a large cohort of patients with distal renal tubular acidosis. Kidney Int. 2017;91(5):1243-55.
24. Carboni I, Andreucci E, Caruso MR, Ciccone R, Zuffardi O, Genuardi M, et al. Medullary sponge kidney associated with primary distal renal tubular acidosis and mutations of the H+-ATPase genes. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2009;24(9):2734-8.
25. Mohebbi N, Wagner CA. Pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of inherited distal renal tubular acidosis. J Nephrol. 2017.
26. Chang CY, Lin CY. Failure to thrive in children with primary distal type renal tubular acidosis. Acta paediatrica Taiwanica = Taiwan er ke yi xue hui za zhi. 2002;43(6):334-9.
27. Igarashi T, Shibuya K, Kamoshita S, Higashihara E, Kawato H, Hagishima K, et al. Renal cyst formation as a complication of primary distal renal tubular acidosis. Nephron. 1991;59(1):75-9.
28. Coresh J, Stevens LA. Kidney function estimating equations: where do we stand? Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2006;15(3):276-84.
29. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(2 Suppl 1):S1-266.
30. Rowe JW, Andres R, Tobin JD, Norris AH, Shock NW. The Effect of Age on Creatinine Clearance in Men: A Cross-sectional and Longitudinal Study2. Journal of Gerontology. 1976;31(2):155-63.
31. Weinstein JR. THE AGING KIDNEY: PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANGES. 2010;17(4):302-7.
32. Ardissino G, Daccò V, Testa S, Bonaudo R, Claris-Appiani A, Taioli E, et al. Epidemiology of Chronic Renal Failure in Children: Data From the ItalKid Project. Pediatrics. 2003;111(4):e382-e7.
33. Areses Trapote R, Sanahuja Ibanez MJ, Navarro M, Investigadores Centros Participantes en el R, II. [Epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in Spanish pediatric population. REPIR II Project]. Nefrologia. 2010;30(5):508-17.
34. Nance WE, Sweeney A. Evidence for autosomal recessive inheritance of the syndrome of renal tubular acidosis with deafness. Birth Defects Orig Artic Ser. 1971;07(4):70-2.
35. Bess FH, Dodd-Murphy J, Parker RA. Children with minimal sensorineural hearing loss: prevalence, educational performance, and functional status. Ear and hearing. 1998;19(5):339-54.
36. Feder KP, Michaud D, McNamee J, Fitzpatrick E, Ramage-Morin P, Beauregard Y. Prevalence of Hearing Loss Among a Representative Sample of Canadian Children and Adolescents, 3 to 19 Years of Age. Ear and hearing. 2017;38(1):7-20.
37. Alonso-Varela M, Gil-Pena H, Coto E, Gomez J, Rodriguez J, Rodriguez-Rubio E, et al. Distal renal tubular acidosis. Clinical manifestations in patients with different underlying gene mutations. Pediatr Nephrol. 2018;33(9):1523-9.
38. Khositseth S, Sirikanaerat A, Khoprasert S, Opastirakul S, Kingwatanakul P, Thongnoppakhun W, et al. Hematological abnormalities in patients with distal renal tubular acidosis and hemoglobinopathies. Am J Hematol. 2008;83(6):465-71.
39. Khositseth S, Bruce LJ, Walsh SB, Bawazir WM, Ogle GD, Unwin RJ, et al. Tropical distal renal tubular acidosis: clinical and epidemiological studies in 78 patients. QJM. 2012;105(9):861-77.
40. Zoppi G, Zamboni G. Mechanism of diet-induced uraemia and acidosis in infants. European journal of pediatrics. 1977;125(3):197-204.

Figure legends

Figure 1. **The patient cohort**

Patients were grouped for analysis according to underlying gene. Patients without genetic testing or with no causative mutations in the tested 3 genes were classified as "*Unknown*".

Figure 2. Demographic, genetics and growth.

Box plot graphs represent the median and interquartile range; the upper and lower whiskers include data points within 1.5 x IQR. Outliers are plotted individually. A**)** Population distribution according to genetic testing. **B)** Age at presentation in years. Note that patients with proton pump mutations all present below the age of 10 years and the significantly ($p<0.001$) older age at presentation in the SLC4A1 group. **C)** Age at last clinic visit. Note again the significantly ($p=0.019$) older age in the SLC4A1 group. **D)** Adult height (mean and SDS) according to genetic group. No significant difference was seen between the genetic groups.

Figure 3. Renal function and Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD).

A) Prevalence of CKD stages in the paediatric age group. Note that 35% have CKD stage ≥ 2. **B)** Prevalence of CKD stages in the adult age group. Note that 82% of the adult patients analysed had CKD stage ≥ 2. **C)** Plot of eGFR versus age at last clinic visit. The solid line represents a regression line suggesting a linear correlation between age and loss of renal function with a calculated decline of 0.8ml/min/1.73m^2/year. Note that the eGFR at age 18 years is already impaired, consistent with CKD stage 2. **D)** Comparison of CKD stage ≥ 2 prevalence in adults between our cohort (dRTA) and controls (NHANES 3) according to 2 different age ranges (20-39 years and 40-59 years old). Note the significantly ($p<0.001$) increased prevalence of CKD stage ≥ 2 in the dRTA cohort.

Figure 4. Treatment and metabolic control at last follow-up.

Box plot graphs specifics are as detailed in Figure 2. **A)** Daily weight-adjusted alkali dose according to age group (under 6 years, 6-18 years and adults). Note that prescribed weight-adjusted dose of alkali supplement decreases with age ($p<0.001$). **B)** Serum bicarbonate level at last clinic visit according to the different genetic groups. The horizontal line indicates the lower limit of the normal range (defined as 22.0mmol/L) **C)** Prevalence of metabolic acidosis (white), hypercalciuria (black) and inadequate metabolic control (grey) within the whole cohort. Note that 49% of the whole cohort had a serum bicarbonate level below the normal range and/or hypercalciuria and were thus classified as having inadequate metabolic control. **D)** Height SDS (in patients with presentation <15 years) and metabolic control. Note the significant ($p<0.001$) difference in height between those with and without adequate metabolic control (for details, see text). **E)** eGFR in adults (≥18 years) and metabolic control. Note the significant ($p<0.023$) difference in eGFR between those with and without adequate metabolic control (for details, see text) **F)** Prevalence of metabolic control in relation to GDP group. Note the significant ($p<0.001$) difference in achievement of adequate metabolic control in countries with high GDP compared to those with lower GDP.

Figure 5. Nephrocalcinosis and -lithiasis

Presence of A) nephrocalcinosis and B) history of urolithiasis at last clinic visit. Note that nephrocalcinosis is significantly ($p=0.004$) more common with ATP6V0A4 mutations, compared to the other genetic groups. Note also the significantly ($P=0.014$) increased prevalence of nephrolithiasis in patients with SLC4A1 mutations.

Figure 6. **Sensorineural hearing loss**

Shown is the prevalence and treatment of sensorineural hearing loss across the genetic groups. **A), B) and D)** Prevalence of hearing loss, history of hearing aids prescription and history of cochlear implantation. Note the significantly ($p<0.001$) increased prevalence of hearing loss, prescription of hearing aids and cochlear implants in patients with ATP6V1B1 mutations. **C)** Patients' age at prescription of hearing aids. Note the significantly ($p<0.021$) younger age at prescription in the ATP6V1B1 group.